



Postdoc FELLOWSHIP CRITIQUE

2025 Cycle

Applicant's Name

Reviewer's Name

Reviewer's Role

APPLICANT (Past and current education and training, productivity, research potential)

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

SPONSOR (Training experience or potential of the mentor; proposed training plan for this applicant; sponsor's resources to support the proposed training)

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

RESEARCH PLAN (significance, innovation, approach)

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

Cancer Relevance:

MENTOR(s)(collaborators. co-mentors) Please include a comment evaluating the recommendation letters.

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

OVERALL IMPACT: (In a single paragraph, please summarize your evaluation of the proposal, including score-driving strengths and weaknesses)

The Cancer Center uses the NIH 9-point scale for individual scored criteria. We encourage you to start with a '5' mind-set for an average application. Scores of 1-2 indicate an exceptionally strong application with essentially no or very minor weaknesses. Scores of 8-9 indicate an application with serious and substantive weaknesses with very few strengths.

Guidance for Scoring

- 1- Exceptional

2 - Outstanding

3 - Excellent
- 4 - Very Good

5 - Good

6 - Satisfactory
- 7 - Fair

8 - Marginal

9 - Poor

	Score
Applicant	
Sponsor	
Research Plan	
Mentoring Team	
Overall Impact	